



#6



# Kongeriget Danmark

Patent application No.: PA 2001 00605

Date of filing: 16 April 2001

Applicant: Novo Nordisk A/S,  
Novo Allé  
DK-2880 Bagsværd

This is to certify the correctness of the following information:

The attached photocopy is a true copy of the following document:

- The specification, figures, claims and abstract as filed with the application on the filing date indicated above.



Patent- og  
Varemærkestyrelsen  
Erhvervsministeriet

TAASTRUP 09 May 2001

Lizzi Vester  
Head of Section

**METHODS AND PREPARATIONS FOR CURING CLINICAL ILL PATIENTS**

OUR REF.: 6296.020-DK, FILED 16 APRIL 2001

**TECHNOLOGICAL BACKGROUND**

A specific type of polyneuropathy develops in patients that are treated within an intensive care unit (hereinafter also designated ICU) for several days to weeks and this for a variety of primary injuries or illnesses. This polyneuropathy, known as "Critical Illness Polyneuropathy" (hereinafter also designated CIPNP) occurs in about 70% of patients who have the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) (Zochodne DW et al. 1987 Polyneuropathy associated with critical illness: a complication of sepsis and multiple organ failure. *Brain*, 110: 819-842); (Leijten FSS & De Weerd AW 1994 Critical illness polyneuropathy: a review of the literature, definition and pathophysiology. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*, 96: 10-19). However, clinical signs are often absent and it remains an occult problem in many ICUs worldwide. Nonetheless, it is an important clinical entity as it a frequent cause of difficulty to wean patients from the ventilator and it leads to problems with rehabilitation after the acute illness has been treated and cured.

When CIPNP is severe enough, it causes limb weakness and reduced tendon reflexes. Sensory impairment follows but is difficult to test in ICU patients. Electrophysiological examination (EMG) is necessary to establish the diagnosis (Bolton CF. 1999 Acute Weakness. In: *Oxford Textbook of Critical Care*; Eds. Webb AR, Shapiro MJ, Singer M, Suter PM; Oxford Medical Publications, Oxford UK; pp. 490-495). This examination will reveal a primary axonal degeneration of first motor and then sensory fibers.

Phrenic nerves are often involved. Acute and chronic denervation has been confirmed in muscle biopsies of this condition. If the underlying condition (sepsis or SIRS) can be successfully treated, recovery from the CIPNP can be expected. This will occur in a matter of weeks in mild cases and in months in more severe cases. In other words, the presence of CIPNP can delay the weaning and rehabilitation for weeks or months.

The pathophysiology of this type of neuropathy remains unknown (Bolton CF 1996 Sepsis and the systemic inflammatory response syndrome: neuromuscular manifesta-

tions. Crit Care Med. 24: 1408-1416). It has been speculated to be directly related to sepsis and its mediators. Indeed, cytokines released in sepsis have histamine-like properties which may increase microvascular permeability. The resulting endoneural edema could induce hypoxia, resulting in severe energy deficits and hereby primary axonal degeneration. Alternatively, it has been suggested that cytokines may have a direct cytotoxic effect on the neurons. Contributing factors to disturbed microcirculation are the use of neuromuscular blocking agents and steroids. Moreover, a role for aminoglycosides in inducing toxicity and CIPNP has been suggested. However, there is still no statistical proof for any of these mechanisms in being a true causal factor in the pathogenesis of CIPNP.

Although polyneuropathy of critical illness was first described in 1985 by three different investigators, one Canadian, one American, and one French, to date there is no effective treatment to prevent or stop Critical Illness Polyneuropathy.

To date the current standard of practice of care, especially of critical ill patients, was that within the settings of good clinical ICU practice, blood glucose levels are allowed to increase as high as to 250 mg/dL or there above. The reason for this permissive attitude is the thought that high levels of blood glucose are part of the adaptive stress responses, and thus do not require treatment unless extremely elevated (Mizock BA. Am J Med 1995; 98: 75-84). Also, relative hypoglycaemia during stress is thought to be potentially deleterious for the immune system and for healing (Mizock BA. Am J Med 1995; 98: 75-84).

One object of the present invention is to increase the survival rate of critical ill patients.

Another object of the present invention is to find a life saving drug for critical ill patients, for example, a CIPNP patient.

A further object of the present invention is to find a life saving treatment of critical ill patients, for example, a CIPNP patient.

A still further object of the present invention is to reduce the time a critical ill patient, for example, a CIPNP patient, stays within an ICU.

Another object of the present invention is to shorten the time a critical ill patient, for example, a CIPNP patient, stays at the hospital.

Another object of the present invention is to suppress states of CIPNP.

Another object of the present invention is to prevent and/or treat CIPNP.

Another object of the present invention is to prevent and/or treat sepsis and/or its mediators in a critical ill patient, for example in CIPNP.

Another object of the present invention is to find a medicament with an application targeted at patients at risk for suffering from CIPNP, for example when in an ICU.

Another object of the present invention is to find a medicament which can be used for prevention and/or treatment a critical ill patient, for example CIPNP.

Another object of the present invention is to treat a critical ill patient, for example a CIPNP patient, so that he is no longer in need of vital organ system support.

Another object of the present invention is to treat a critical ill patient, for example a CIPNP patient, so that it is considered sufficient for him to receive at least about two third of the caloric need through the normal enteral route.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the risk or likelihood from multiple organ failure in a critical ill patient, for example a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the risk or likelihood from multiple organ failure with a proven septic focus on post-mortem examination in a critical ill patient, for example a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce mortality, for example, in-hospital mortality, in a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce morbidity, for example, in-hospital morbidity, in a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the use of mechanical ventilatory support to a critical ill patient, for example to a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the likelihood of renal replacement therapy and/or renal failure in a critical ill patient, for example a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the likelihood of disturbed kidney function parameters on a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the likelihood of hyperbilirubinemia in a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the likelihood for blood stream infections in a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the likelihood of disturbance in markers of inflammations and/or inflammatory responses in a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the use of antibiotics in a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the likelihood of a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient, having repetitive positive EMGs.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the amount of red cell transfusion to a critical ill patient, for example to a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to prevent or reduce the amount of ultimately futile intensive care to a critical ill patient, for example to a CIPNP patient.

Another object of the present invention is to protect a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient, from cholestasis.

Another object of the present invention is to reduce the need for invasive treatment in a critical ill patient, for example in a CIPNP patient.

#### **SUMMARY OF THE PRESENT INVENTION**

This invention was based on the discovery that critical illness in a patient, for example, CIPNP, can be prevented, treated or cured, at least to a certain extent, by strictly controlling glucose metabolism during said critical illness by applying intensive treatment with a blood glucose regulator, for example, insulin treatment, with clamping of blood glucose levels within a range where the lower limit can be selected to be about 60, about 70 or about 80 mg/dL and the upper limit can be selected to be about 110, about 120 or about 130 mg/dL, more specifically to the normal range (i.e., from about 80 to about 110 mg/dL). The skilled art worker, for example, the physician, will be able to decide exactly which upper and lower limits to use. Alternatively, the range is from about 60 to about 130, preferably, from about 70 to about 120, more preferred, from about 80 to about 110 mg/dL.

This invention demonstrates that clamping of blood glucose levels within the above range, for example, within normal limits (about 80 to about 110 mg/dL) in a critical ill patient or in a chronic ill patient can be used to significantly reduce the incidence of critical

illness in a patient, for example, CIPNP, and to lengthen the time free of critical illness in a patient, for example, CIPNP, in a patient that do develop this problem.

In the illustrative embodiment of present invention, blood glucose levels were controlled by insulin treatment. However after this invention, it will be clear for the man skilled in the art that also active insulin derivatives and its physiologically tolerated salts and other blood glucose regulators can be used to obtain the same outcome.

Furthermore, it will be clear for the man skilled in the art, that compounds of the group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action can be used to treat critical illness in a patient, for example, Critical Illness Polyneuropathy, or to manufacture a medicine to treat critical illness in a patient, for example, Critical Illness Polyneuropathy. Such compound with an activity of promoting the secretion of insulin were already well disclosed before the moment of this invention such as the Islets-Activating Proteins (UI; Michio et al. US 5000953, 19 March 1991) and the glucagon-like peptides (Habener; Joel F. Newton Highlands, MA US 5614492, 25 March 1997).

Furthermore, it will be clear for the man skilled in the art, compounds of the group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell can be used to treat or to manufacture a medicine to treat critical illness in a patient, for example, Critical Illness Polyneuropathy. It was well known before the date of this invention that insulin binding to the insulin receptor triggers a variety of metabolic and growth promoting effects. Metabolic effects include glucose transport, biosynthesis of glycogen and fats, inhibition of triglyceride breakdown, and growth promoting effects include DNA synthesis, cell division and differentiation. It is known that some of these biological effects of insulin can be mimicked by vanadium salts such as vanadates and pervanadates. However, this class of compounds appears to inhibit phosphotyrosine phosphatases generally, and are potentially toxic because they contain heavy metal (U.S. Pat. No. 5,155,031; Fantus et al., 1989, Biochem., 28:8864-71; Swarup et al., 1982, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 107:1104-9). Moreover, it had been already demonstrated (LAMMERS REINER et al. 19 January 1999, US 5861268 & WO 9523217) that certain protein-tyrosine phosphatases (PTP's), in particular, RPTP.alpha. and RPTP.epsilon., specifically regulate the insulin receptor signalling pathway. Compounds that specifically modulate the activity of the controlling RPTP, thereby prolonging

or enhancing signal transduction mediated by the Insulin receptor can thus be used to treat critical illness in a patient, for example, Critical Illness Polyneuropathy, or to manufacture a medicine to treat critical illness in a patient, for example, Critical Illness Polyneuropathy. Such compounds have low toxicity since they are specific for the PTPs associated with Insulin receptor activity, and do not significantly affect the activity of other PTPs that are non-specific.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

The term "systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS)", as used herein refers to the uncontrolled disease process which ensues an initial insult and which gives rise to a multisystem disturbance secondary to inflammatory mediators released during shock.

The term "sepsis", as used herein refers to "SIRS", as described above, which is particularly caused by an infectious insult leading to the initial shock phase.

The term "mediators of sepsis", as used herein refers to factors released by inflammatory cells, such as TNFs, interleukins, bradykinins etc.

The term "insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase", as used herein refers to a post-receptor signal transduction pathway involved in the insulin signaling.

The term "endoneural edema", as used herein refers to swelling of the neuronal cells.

The term "phrenic nerves", as used herein refers to the left and right nervus phrenicus, innervating the diaphragm.

The term "blood glucose regulator", as used herein refers to any compound which is able to regulate the blood glucose level. Examples of blood glucose regulators are insulin, active insulin derivatives, Insulin analogues, compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an Insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell, certain protein-tyrosine phosphatases (PTP's), other type II antidiabetica, and other biologically active substances having insulin releasing action.

The term "insulin", as used herein refers to insulin from any species such as porcine insulin, bovine insulin, and human insulin and salts thereof such as zinc salts, and protamin salts.

The term "active derivatives of insulin", as used herein are what a skilled art worker generally considers derivatives, vide general textbooks, for example, insulin having a substituent not present in the parent insulin molecule.

The term "insulin analogues", as used herein refers to insulin wherein one or more of the amino acid residues have been exchanged with another amino acid residue and/or from which one or more amino acid residue has been deleted and/or from which one or more amino acid residue has been added with the proviso that said insulin analogue has a sufficient insulin activity. Using results from the so-called free fat cell assay, any skilled art worker, for example, a physician, knows when and which dosages to administer of the insulin analogue. Examples of insulin analogues are described in the following patents and equivalents thereto: US 5,618,913, EP 254,516, EP 280,534, US 5,750,497, and US 6,011,007. Examples of specific insulin analogues are insulin aspart (i.e., Asp<sup>B28</sup> human insulin), insulin lispro (i.e., Lys<sup>B29</sup>,Pro<sup>B29</sup> human insulin), and insulin glargin (i.e., Gly<sup>A21</sup>,Arg<sup>B31</sup>,Arg<sup>B32</sup> human insulin).

Also compounds which can be considered being both an insulin derivative and an insulin analogue can be used to practice the present invention. Examples of such compounds are described in the following patents and equivalents thereto: US 5,750,497, and US 6,011,007. An example of a specific insulin analogues and derivatives is insulin detemir (i.e., des-Thr<sup>B30</sup> human insulin  $\gamma$  Lys<sup>B29</sup> tetradecanoyl).

The term a "critical ill patient" (herein designated CIP), as used herein refers to a patient who have sustained or are at risk of sustaining acutely life-threatening single or multiple organ system failure due to disease or injury. Usually and preferably, these conditions necessitate prolonged minute-to-minute therapy and/or observation, usually and preferably in an intensive care unit (ICU) or the like which is capable of providing a high level of intensive therapy in terms of quality and immediacy. Similarly, this definition applies to similar expressions such as "critical illness in a patient" and a "patient is critical ill".

The term "Intensive Care Unit" (herein designated ICU), as used herein refers to the part of a hospital where critical ill patients are treated. Of course, this might vary from country to country and even from hospital to hospital and said part of the hospital may not necessary, officially, bear the name "Intensive Care Unit" or a translation or derivation thereof. Of course, the term "Intensive Care Unit" also covers a nursing home a clinic, for example, a private clinic, or the like if the same or similar activities are performed there.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION**

Patients entering an ICU may be fed continuously, on admission with mainly intravenous glucose (for example, about 200 g to about 300 g per 24 hours) and from the next day onward with a standardised feeding schedule aiming for a caloric content up to between about 10 and about 40, preferably between about 20 and about 30, non-protein Calories/kg/24 hours and a balanced composition (for example, between about 0.05 and about 0.4, preferably between about 0.13 and about 0.26, g nitrogen/kg/24 hours and between about 20% and about 40% of non-protein Calories as lipids) of either total parenteral, combined parenteral/enteral or full enteral feeding, the latter mode attempted as early as possible. Other concomitant ICU therapy can be left to the discretion of attending physicians.

Alternatively, the following procedure can be used or it is possible to use a combination or variant of these procedures, as the physician considers advantageous for the patient:

Patients may be fed, on the admission day using, for example, a 20% glucose infusion and from day 2 onward by using a standardised feeding schedule consisting of normal caloric intake (for example, about 25-35 Calories/kgBW/24h) and balanced composition (for example, about 20%-40% of the non-protein Calories as lipids and about 1-2 g/kgBW/24h protein) of either total parenteral, combined parenteral/enteral or full enteral feeding, the route of administration of feeding depending on assessment of feasibility of early enteral feeding by the attending physician. All other treatments, including feeding regimens, were according to standing orders currently applied within the ICU.

The glucose metabolism of the clinical ill patient is controlled by clamping the blood glucose level within the ranges mentioned in connection with the present invention. Any skilled art worker, for example, a physician, knows how to do this, for example using insulin or another blood glucose regulator. Specific reference can be made to brochures concerning regulation of the blood glucose level, available from Novo Nordisk A/S, and a huge number of other publications.

Conveniently, the blood glucose level is kept within the ranges mentioned in connection with the present invention for as long a period of time as the patient is critical ill. Hence, as a general rule, the blood glucose level is kept within the ranges mentioned in connection with the present invention as long as the patient is critical ill. Consequently, the blood glucose level is kept within the ranges mentioned in connection with the present invention for a period of time of more than about 8 hours, preferably more than about 24 hours, even more preferred more than about 2 days, especially more than about 4 days, and even more than about 7 days. In certain cases, it may even be preferred that the blood glucose level is kept within the ranges mentioned in connection with the present invention after the patient previously considered as being critical ill has been transferred from the Intensive Care Unit to another part of the hospital or even after said patient has left the hospital.

One specific embodiment of the present invention relates to the use of a pharmaceutically effective composition for use in the therapeutic treatment of a mammal having Critical Illness Polyneuropathy, comprising a pharmaceutically effective amount of a compound which is selected from a group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated salts of these insulin derivatives or of a group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of a group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell.

Another specific embodiment of the present invention relates to the use of a pharmaceutically effective composition for use in the prophylactic treatment of a mammal having Critical Illness Polyneuropathy, comprising a pharmaceutically effective amount of a compound which is selected from a group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated salts of these insulin derivatives or of the group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of the

group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell.

A further embodiment of the present invention relates to the use of compounds of the group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated salts of these insulin derivatives or of a group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of a group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell for the manufacturing of a medicament for the treatment or prevention of Critical Illness Polyneuropathy.

A still further embodiment of the present invention relates to a method for the treatment of Critical Illness Polyneuropathy in mammals, wherein said critical ill individual (or patient) receives an effective amount of a compound to keep the blood glucose levels between 80 and 110 mg/dL (4.6-6.1 mmol/L), i.e., in reality or in practice between about 80 and about 110 mg/dL (i.e., between about 4.6 and about 6.1 mmol/L).

Another specific embodiment of the present invention relates to the method described in the previous paragraph (wherein CIPNP is treated with a compound to keep the blood glucose levels between 80 and 110 mg/dL) whereby said compound is selected from a group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated salts of these insulin derivatives or of a group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of a group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENT

The terminology used herein is for the purpose of describing particular embodiments only, and is not intended to limit the scope of the present invention which will be limited only by the appending claims. This invention is not limited to the particular methodology, protocols, delivery forms and reagents described as these may vary.

#### *Example 1*

#### **Material and Methods**

In a prospective clinical study, we tested the hypothesis that the incidence of CIPNP can be reduced by more strict metabolic using intensive insulin treatment from admission onward.

Between 2 February and 25 April 2000, 400 patients were included in the study. They had been randomly allocated to one of two insulin (Actrapid HM NovoLet of Novo Nordisk) treatment schedules :

- (1) insulin infusion started at a dose of 1U/h only when blood glucose is > 230 mg/dL (13 mmol/L) and titrated up (2 to 4 hourly controls of blood glucose levels) with increments of 0.5 to 1 U/h to keep blood glucose below this level [180-200 mg/dL (10.3-11.2 mmol/L)]. When blood glucose levels reach 180 mg/dL, insulin infusion is stopped.
- (2) insulin infusion started when blood glucose is > 120 mg/dL (6.8 mmol/L) at a dose of 2 U/h and titrated up (2 to 4 hourly controls of blood glucose levels) with increments adequate to keep blood glucose levels normal and thus below this level [80-110 mg/dL (4.6-6.1 mmol/L)]. Maximal hourly insulin dose is set at 60 U per hour. When blood glucose levels reach 80 mg/dL, insulin infusion is tapered and eventually stopped until normal levels are again reached. During interruption of enteral tube feeding for determination of residual stomach content, insulin infusion is reduced proportionately to the reduction of caloric intake.

Concomitantly, patients were fed, on the admission day using a 20% glucose infusion and from day 2 onward by using a standardised feeding schedule consisting of normal caloric intake (25-35 Calories/kgBW/24h) and balanced composition (20%-40% of the non-protein Calories as lipids & 1-2 g/kgBW/24h protein) of either total parenteral, combined parenteral/enteral or full enteral feeding, the route of administration of feeding depending on assessment of feasibility of early enteral feeding by the attending physician. All other treatments, including feeding regimens, were according to standing orders currently applied within the ICU.

Exclusion criteria were age <18y, pregnancy and not being intubated at admission.

When patients were still treated in the ICU after 7 days, a weekly EMG examination was performed to screen for the presence of CIPNP. The EMGs were always interpreted by the same expert in electrophysiology. In order to accurately assess ICU

stay, which is often determined by other factors than the patient's condition — e.g. bed availability on the wards — "end of ICU stay" was defined as the day on which the attending physician considers the patient to be "ready for discharge".

## Results

83 patients ended up being treated on the ICU for at least one week and were screened by EMG for the presence of CIPNP. In the group randomised into the "intensive insulin schedule", 38 patients stayed for more than 7 days and in the group randomised into the "restrictive insulin schedule", 45 patients stayed more than 7 days. Fifteen out of 38 long-stay ICU patients in the intensive insulin group (or 39% of the long stayers) revealed a positive EMG for CIPNP at any time during the ICU stay versus 30 out of 45 in the restrictive insulin group (or 67%) ( $P=0.01$  with Chi-square). In the intensive insulin group, the mean $\pm$ SD number of positive EMGs for CIPNP per patient was  $0.9\pm1.8$  (median of zero) versus  $1.8\pm2.1$  (median of 1) in the restrictive insulin group ( $P=0.015$  with Mann-Whitney U test). Long-stay patients in the intensive insulin group had a CIPNP-free time on the ICU of  $2.1\pm1.8$  weeks versus  $1.1\pm1.2$  weeks in the restrictive insulin group ( $P=0.004$  with unpaired Student's t-test). ICU-mortality was not detectably different between the two treatment groups ( $P=0.4$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

This study revealed that strict metabolic control with intensive insulin treatment and clamping of blood glucose levels within normal limits significantly reduces the incidence of CIPNP and lengthens the time free of CIPNP in patients that do develop this problem. This is the first study to point to a preventive strategy for this frequently occurring and important problem in ICU patients. Since the presence of EMG-proven CIPNP has been shown to extend the need for ICU support and to prolong the time required for rehabilitation, this treatment will lead to a reduction in need for ICU support and to a shorter time for rehabilitation, which could reflect a major reduction in costs.

### *Example 2*

We performed a prospective, randomized, controlled study to address this question.

**Methods** All mechanically ventilated, adult patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) were eligible for inclusion. Only 5 patients participating in another trial and 9 who were moribund or DNR coded at ICU admission were excluded. At admission, patients were randomized to either strict normalization of glycemia (4.5-6.1 mmol/L) with continuously infused insulin during intensive care, the 'intensive insulin schedule' (IIS), or the currently used 'restrictive insulin schedule' (RIS), with insulin started when blood glucose exceeds 12 mmol/L in which case glycemia is clamped to 10-12 mmol/L. An interim safety analysis revealed a difference in mortality, and the study was ended for ethical reasons.

**Results** A total of 1548 patients were included, 765 in the IIS group, 783 in the RIS group, well matched at inclusion. IIS reduced ICU mortality by 43% ( $P=0.005$ ) [63 deaths in the RIS group versus 35 in the IIS group; death odds ratio for IIS, corrected for all baseline univariate predictors of ICU death, was 0.52 (0.33-0.82),  $P=0.004$ ] and hospital mortality by 34% ( $P=0.01$ ). Mortality reduction occurred exclusively in long-stay ICU patients and was due to prevention of death from multiple organ failure with sepsis. IIS also reduced the incidence of blood stream infections, renal failure, anemia and critical illness polyneuropathy as well as the need for dialysis or hemofiltration, red cell transfusion, prolonged mechanical ventilatory support and intensive care.

**Conclusion** The data suggest that disturbances in glucose metabolism during critical illness are not "adaptive and beneficial" since strict metabolic control with exogenous insulin substantially reduces morbidity and mortality.

More detailed, the study was as follows:

## METHODS

### *Study population*

All mechanically ventilated, adult (age > 18y) patients admitted to our 56-bed, mainly surgical, ICU from February 2, 2000 onward were considered eligible for inclusion. Only 5 patients taking part in other outcome trials and 9 who were moribund or "do not resuscitate" - coded at ICU admission were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from the closest family member. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Catholic University of Leuven School of Medicine.

*Study design and treatment protocols*

At ICU admission, patients were randomized to either strict control of glycemia below 6.1 mmol/L (110 mg/dL) with continuously infused insulin, the 'intensive insulin schedule' (IIS), or the currently used 'restrictive insulin schedule' (RIS), with insulin started when blood glucose exceeds 12 mmol/L (215 mg/dL). In the RIS group, intravenous insulin drip, consisting of 50 IU insulin (Actrapid HM<sup>®</sup>, Novo Nordisk, Denmark) in a 50mL NaCl 0.9% containing PERFUSOR<sup>®</sup> syringe driven by a Perfusor FM<sup>®</sup> pump (B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany), was initiated at 1 IU/h. By 2-4 hourly measurements of whole blood glucose levels in undiluted arterial blood samples using the ABL700 analyser (Radiometer Medical A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark), insulin dose was adjusted to clamp glycemia between 10-12 mmol/L.

In the IIS group, insulin drip was started at 2 IU/h when blood glucose exceeded 6.1 mmol/L. By 2-4 hourly measurements – and in case of difficult control by hourly measurements – of blood glucose levels, insulin dose was adjusted to clamp glycemia between 4.5-6.1 mmol/L. Maximal dose of insulin was arbitrarily set at 50 U/h. At ICU discharge, a restrictive insulin schedule was adopted (glycemia  $\leq$  12 mmol/L) to avoid hypoglycemia in the less well controlled setting of a regular ward.

Consecutive patients were randomly assigned to one of these two treatment groups using blinded envelopes, stratified according to type of critical illness diagnosed on admission [(a) neurological disease, cerebral trauma or surgery; (b) cardiac surgery; (c) thoracic surgery and/or respiratory insufficiency; (d) abdominal surgery / peritonitis; (e) vascular surgery; (f) multiple trauma or severe burns; (g) organ transplantation; (h) others, mainly extensive oncological procedures] and balanced with the use of permuted blocks of ten.

All patients were fed continuously, on admission with mainly intravenous glucose (200-300 g/24h) and from the next day onward with a standardised feeding schedule aiming for a caloric content up to 20-30 non-protein Calories/kg/24h and a balanced composition (0.13-0.26 g nitrogen/kg/24h and 20%-40% of non-protein Calories as lipids) of either total parenteral, combined parenteral/enteral or full enteral feeding, the latter mode attempted as early as possible. Other concomitant ICU therapy was left to the discretion of attending physicians.

***Baseline assessment and data collection***

At baseline, demographic, diagnostic and therapeutic information as well as information necessary to determine severity of illness and utilization of ICU resources were obtained from each patient (Table 1). These included APACHE-II (Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation) score with higher values indicating more severe illness (see Knaus) and simplified Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System (TISS-28) with higher values indicating a higher number of therapeutic interventions (see Reis Miranda & Keene). APACHE II and TISS scores were calculated daily from ICU admission to discharge or death.

The 'on-admission' APACHE II score was calculated from data gathered during 24h after admission to the ICU and omitted values from stabilization in the emergency department or recovery room prior to transfer. Because of ICU bed shortage, this period outside ICU often took more than 24h which caused a substantial treatment effect and thus lowered APACHE II scores. Moreover, zero points were usually assigned for the neurological evaluation section (Glasgow Coma Score, with higher values indicating more impaired consciousness) as the majority of patients were sedated upon ICU admission which makes correct consciousness scoring impossible. This approach is the most consistent and objective but inevitably reduces APACHE II (see Knaus).

A blood sample was taken upon ICU admission and daily at 06:00h until discharge from ICU or death. On-admission and daily morning, whole blood glucose level as well as daily maximal and minimal glycemia were analysed. Analyses on the 06:00h sample also included clinical chemistry, hematology and markers of inflammation.

Blood cultures were taken whenever continuously monitored central body temperature acutely rose above 38.5°C. Results from all blood cultures were interpreted by the same blinded investigator. An episode of bacteremia, fungemia or mycobacteremia was defined by the first positive blood culture in a series. To identify a blood stream infection with coagulase-negative staphylococci, identical strains (compared by antibiogram) of microorganisms in two or more positive blood cultures were required (see Weinstein 1997 and Weinstein 1998).

In patients treated in ICU for more than one week, a weekly electromyography (EMG) was performed to screen for Critical Illness Polyneuropathy. EMGs were interpreted by the same electrophysiologist who was blinded for randomization.

In case of ICU death, a post-mortem examination was performed to confirm the presumed cause of death. The pathologists were also blinded for the insulin treatment schedule.

#### *Outcome measures*

The primary outcome measure was death from all causes during intensive care. Secondary outcome measures were in-hospital mortality, incidence of prolonged intensive care dependency and need for ICU re-admission, need for vital organ system support comprising mechanical ventilatory support, renal replacement therapy (continuous or intermittent hemofiltration or dialysis), inotropic or vasopressor support, incidence of critical illness polyneuropathy, the degree of inflammation, incidence of blood stream infections and use of antibiotics, transfusion requirements and incidence of hyperbilirubinemia. Furthermore, use of intensive care resources was analysed by cumulative TISS scores. In order to accurately and objectively assess duration of ICU stay, which is often influenced by non-patient related factors such as bed availability on regular wards, patients were defined 'dischargeable from ICU' when they were no longer in need of vital organ system support and received at least 2/3rd of the caloric need through the normal enteral route or earlier when actually sent to a ward.

#### *Statistical analysis*

We hypothesized to detect a difference in mortality selectively in long-stay (> 5 days) critically ill patients. Since prolonged ICU stay is not predictable at ICU admission, and since we aimed at treatment from admission onward, we chose to include all mechanically ventilated patients admitted to the ICU, without selection. We estimated that inclusion of 2500 admissions would be needed to rule out an absolute difference in mortality of  $\pm 5\%$  in the long-stay cohort which would translate in an absolute risk reduction of  $\pm 2\%$  for overall ICU mortality (2-sided alpha level of  $<0.05$ ). Three-monthly interim analyses of overall ICU mortality were performed with stopping boundaries (with a 2-sided alpha-level of  $<0.01$ ) designed to allow early study termination if one of the intervention groups was found to be clearly inferior. Interim analysis after one year of study revealed a significantly higher number of deaths in the RIS group, after which the study was ended for ethical reasons. All analyses were done on intention to treat basis.

Baseline and outcome variables were compared using Student's t-test, Chi-square test and Mann-Whitney-U test, as appropriate. Death odds ratios were calculated using multivariate logistic regression analysis. The effect on time of in-hospital death was assessed by Kap-

lan-Meier analysis and logrank (Mantel-Cox) significance testing. Patients discharged from the hospital were considered as survivors. Data are presented as percentages, means  $\pm$  SD or medians (25<sup>th</sup>- 75<sup>th</sup> percentile) unless indicated otherwise.

## RESULTS

### *Study population*

The study involved 1548 patients, 783 in the RIS group and 765 in the IIS group, well matched at randomization (Table 1) although IIS patients tended to be slightly older and more obese compared with RIS patients.

A history of diabetes was present in 13.2% of patients, 4.6% treated with subcutaneous insulin injections, 8.6% receiving oral anti-diabetic treatment. On ICU admission, 74.6% of patients revealed glycemia higher than normal when compared with overnight fasted reference values ( $\geq 6.1$  mmol/L) and 56% had a blood glucose level higher than the fasted diabetes threshold ( $\geq 7$  mmol/L). Only 11.7%, however, revealed an on-admission glycemia in the non-fasting diabetes range ( $\geq 11$  mmol/L). A non-fasting "diabetic" glycemia on ICU admission did not correlate well with having a history of diabetes, as only 19.6% of the known diabetics revealed a blood glucose level on ICU admission  $\geq 11$  mmol/L. The two study groups were comparable for diabetes diagnosed before ICU admission and for incidence of on-admission hyperglycemia (Table 1).

### *Glycemia control*

Mean and maximal amount of non-protein Calories per patient (not including the first and last day of ICU stay) was  $19 \pm 7$  kCal/kg/24h and  $24 \pm 10$  kCal/kg/24h, respectively. Mean and maximal amount of dietary nitrogen was  $0.14 \pm 0.06$  gN/kg/24h and  $0.19 \pm 0.08$  gN/kg/24h, respectively. Daily amounts and composition of the feeding regimens were comparable in the two groups.

In the IIS group, 99% of patients required exogenous insulin, a need which persisted for the entire duration of ICU stay (Table 2). Glycemia was well controlled with mean morning levels of  $5.8 \pm 1.0$  mmol/L. Only 0.1% of IIS patients had blood glucose levels that failed to go below 6.1 mmol/L within 48h, 48% never exceeded 6.1 mmol/L after treatment initiation and only 17% occasionally peaked over 8.4 mmol/L. Mean morning glycemia in the RIS group was  $8.5 \pm 1.8$  mmol/L. Only 39% of RIS patients actually received insulin and those

revealed a mean morning glycemia of  $9.6 \pm 1.8$  mmol/L in contrast to  $7.8 \pm 1.4$  mmol/L in the non-insulin requiring RIS patients.

In 39 IIS-treated patients, glycemia transiently fell below 2.3 mmol/L versus 6 patients in the RIS group. Such an event of hypoglycemia was always quickly corrected and never induced serious symptoms such as hemodynamic deterioration or epilepsy.

#### **MORTALITY OUTCOME (TABLES 3 & 4; FIGS. 1 & 2)**

In the IIS group, 35 patients (4.6%) died during intensive care versus 63 (8.1%) in the RIS group ( $P=0.005$ ), a relative risk reduction (RRR) of 43% (Table 3). The "numbers needed to treat" (NNT) to save one life during intensive care was 29. The impact on ICU mortality by IIS was independent of the first 24h-APACHE II and TISS scores (Fig. 1). The intervention effect was also similar in patients after cardiac surgery and those suffering from other types of critical illness. ICU mortality among the RIS patients actually receiving insulin was 12.4% versus 5.2% among those not requiring insulin to keep glycemia below 12 mmol/L ( $P = 0.0003$ ).

Since we hypothesized a difference in mortality among long-stay ICU patients, we sub-analysed the effect in patients with an ICU stay of  $\leq 5$  days and in those staying  $> 5$  days. First 24h-APACHE II score of patients staying  $\leq 5$  days was a median 9 (IQR 6-12) and 75% of them were patients after cardiac surgery. Median first 24h-APACHE II in patients staying  $> 5$  days was 12 (8-15) and 68% were suffering from a non-cardiac surgery type of critical illness. The number of patients with an ICU stay of  $> 5$  days was not statistically different in the IIS (27%) and RIS (31%) groups ( $P = 0.1$ ). Mortality of patients staying  $\leq 5$  days was similar in IIS and RIS groups. Hence, the reduction in ICU mortality by IIS occurred selectively in the prolonged critically ill cohort with an absolute and relative risk reduction of 9.6% and 47%, respectively, and one life saved for every 11 treated long-stay patients.

All on-admission risk factors for ICU mortality were determined using univariate analysis. These comprised the first 24h-APACHE II score, age, a non-cardiac surgery type of critical illness, tertiary referral, history of malignancy, and on-admission blood glucose level  $\geq 11$  mmol/L. These factors were subsequently entered into a multivariate logistic regression model together with the randomized insulin schedule (Table 4). This revealed that the independent risk factors for mortality were the first 24h-APACHE II score, age, a non-cardiac surgery type of critical illness, tertiary referral and insulin treatment schedule. The

death odds ratio for IIS, corrected for other baseline univariate predictors of ICU death, was 0.52 (95% confidence intervals 0.33 – 0.82). Analysis of the causes of death during intensive care revealed that IIS particularly reduced the risk of death from multiple organ failure with a proven septic focus on post-mortem examination (Table 3).

IIS also significantly reduced total in-hospital mortality from 10.8% to 7.1% ( $P = 0.01$ ), a relative risk reduction of 34% (Table 3, Fig. 2). Again, this benefit was limited to the prolonged critically ill cohort.

#### **Morbidity outcome (Table 5).**

IIS reduced duration of ICU stay whereas in-hospital stay was not detectably different between the two study groups. ICU re-admission rate was 2.1% and similar in both groups. In the IIS group, significantly less patients required prolonged mechanical ventilatory support and renal replacement therapy compared with the RIS group, whereas the need for inotropic or vasopressor support was identical. Independent of renal replacement therapy, kidney function parameters were more disturbed in the RIS group. The incidence of hyperbilirubinemia was significantly lower in the IIS group.

There was a 46% reduction in blood stream infections. Moreover, markers of inflammation were less disturbed and prolonged use of antibiotics (> 10 days) less often required in the IIS group. The latter was largely attributable to the effect on bacteremia (75% of bacteremic patients were treated with antibiotics for >10 days versus 10% of non-bacteremic patients;  $P < 0.0001$ ). Mortality tended to be lower in bacteremic IIS patients (12.5%) compared with bacteremic RIS patients (29.5%;  $P = 0.067$ ). There was no difference between the two groups in the use of ICU drugs other than insulin or antibiotics.

Patients with an ICU stay of more than 1 week were screened weekly for critical illness polyneuropathy. Firstly, due to the effect on ICU stay, less IIS patients were screened. Secondly, among the screened patients in the IIS group, less revealed a positive EMG compared with the RIS group. Among screened patients, the NNT to prevent critical illness polyneuropathy in one patient was 4. Furthermore, critical illness polyneuropathy resolved more rapidly in the IIS group, as indicated by a lower fraction of patients with repetitive positive EMGs on the weekly screenings.

The use of aminoglycosides and glucocorticoids were determinants of critical illness polyneuropathy by univariate analysis. However, when entered into a multivariate logistic

regression model together with other univariate predictors, the only independent determinants of critical illness polyneuropathy remained restrictive insulin schedule [or of 2.6 (1.6 – 4.2);  $P = 0.0002$ ], >3 days vasopressor treatment [or of 2.5 (1.4 – 4.2);  $P = 0.001$ ], acquiring a blood stream infection [or of 2.3 (1.3 – 4.1);  $P = 0.006$ ] and receiving renal replacement therapy [or of 1.9 (1.0 – 3.8);  $P = 0.05$ ].

When the risk of critical illness polyneuropathy was evaluated in both study groups as function of the actual mean glycemia per patient, a positive, linear correlation was obtained.

The amount of red cell transfusions in IIS patients was only half that of RIS patients. This was not due to a more liberal transfusion strategy in RIS patients as indicated by their lower levels of hemoglobin and hematocrit (Table 5).

The cumulative TISS score is an indicator of the number of therapeutic interventions per patient and per ICU stay (see Reis Miranda). There was a 20% reduction in median cumulative TISS score selectively in long-stay patients. In view of a comparable TISS score on the last day of study [median of 30 (26-38) in both study groups], this difference reflects a 20% reduction in costs per long-stay ICU patient (see Reis Miranda).

#### DISCUSSION

In this large prospective, randomized, controlled study of intensive care-dependent critically ill patients, tight glycemic control below 6.1 mmol/L with insulin reduced ICU mortality by 43% and in-hospital mortality by 34%. Strict metabolic control also substantially improved morbidity by preventing blood stream infections, renal failure, anemia, critical illness polyneuropathy and need for prolonged support of failing vital organ systems. These striking benefits were independent of the type and severity of underlying disease.

The beneficial effects on morbidity can be summarized as reducing the risk of several key problems in intensive care. These include acquiring severe infections and ensuing inflammatory response, development of renal failure, cholestasis, anemia, critical illness polyneuropathy and muscle weakness. These problems perpetuate the need for intensive care which, in view of the high mortality of prolonged critical illness, often becomes futile.

In conclusion, the data suggest that disturbances in glucose metabolism in critically ill patients are not "adaptive and beneficial" since strict glycemic control during intensive care substantially reduces morbidity and mortality.

#### References

- Knaus:** Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, Zimmerman JE. APACHE II: A severity of disease classification system. *Crit Care Med.* 1985; 13:818-829.
- Reis Miranda:** Reis Miranda D, de Rijck A, Schaufeli W. Simplified Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System : the TISS-28 items – results from a multicenter study. *Crit Care Med.* 1996; 24: 64-73.
- Keene:** Keene AR, Cukken DJ. Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System: Update 1983. *Crit Care Med.* 1983; 11: 1-3.
- Weinstein 1997:** Weinstein MP, Towns ML, Quartey SM, Mirrett S, Reimer LG, Parmigiani G, Reller LB. The clinical significance of positive blood cultures in the 1990s: a prospective comprehensive evaluation of the microbiology, epidemiology and outcome of bacteremia and fungemia in adults. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1997; 24: 584-602.
- Weinstein 1998:** Weinstein MP, Mirrett S, Van Pelt L, McKinnon M, Zimmer BL, Kloos W, Reller LB. Clinical importance of identifying coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from blood cultures: evaluation of microscan rapid and dried overnight gram-positive panels versus a conventional reference method. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1998; 36: 2089-2092.

#### TABLES AND FIGURES

**Table 1 BASELINE PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS**

	RIS	IIIS	P-value
N	783	785	
Male gender (% of patients)	71	71	0.8
Age (y) (mean $\pm$ SD)	62.2 $\pm$ 13.9	63.4 $\pm$ 13.8	0.08
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (mean $\pm$ SD)	25.8 $\pm$ 4.7	26.2 $\pm$ 4.4	0.1
Diagnostic group (total no. - %)			
cardiac surgery	493 (63%)	477 (62%)	0.8
other types of critical illness	290 (37%)	288 (38%)	
neurological disease, cerebral trauma or surgery	30 (4%)	33 (4%)	
thoracic surgery and/or respiratory insufficiency	56 (7%)	66 (8%)	
abdominal surgery/peritonitis	58 (7%)	45 (6%)	
vascular surgery	32 (4%)	30 (4%)	
multiple trauma or severe burns	35 (4%)	33 (4%)	
transplantation	44 (6%)	46 (6%)	
others	35 (5%)	35 (5%)	
APACHE II score (median & IQR)			
during the first 24h	9 (7-13)	9 (7-13)	0.4
during the second 24h	9 (8-13)	9 (8-13)	0.8
First 24h APACHE II score $\geq$ 9 (total no. - %)	458 (51%)	429 (48%)	0.3
TISS score (median & IQR)			
during the first 24h	43 (36-47)	43 (37-46)	0.7
during the second 24h	38 (32-44)	38 (31-43)	0.4
Tertiary referral (% of patients)	17%	17%	0.7
History of malignancy (% of patients)	15%	16%	0.7
History of diabetes (% of patients)	13%	13%	0.9
On admission blood glucose $\geq$ 6.1 mmol/L (% of patients)	78%	73%	0.1
On admission blood glucose $\geq$ 11 mmol/L (% of patients)	12%	11%	0.2

APACHE denotes Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation. Higher APACHE II scores reflect more severe critical illness. First 24h-APACHE II scores were artificially lowered by treatment effect and by assuming normal consciousness in sedated patients. TISS denotes Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System, with each therapeutic intervention being assigned 1 to 4 points. An increasing score represents increasing intensity of treatment. The sum of points is calculated daily for each patient. P-values were obtained using Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney-U test and Chi-square test, when appropriate.

Table 2 GLYCEMIA CONTROL

	RIS (N = 783)	IIS (N = 785)	P - value
Patients receiving insulin - total no. (%)	307 (39%)	755 (96%)	<0.0001
Mean daily insulin dose, when given (U/d) (median - IQR)	33 (17-56)	71 (48-100)	<0.0001
Duration of insulin requirement (% of ICU stay) (median - IQR)	67 (40-100)	100 (100-100)	<0.0001
Mean 06:00h blood glucose level (mmol/L) (mean $\pm$ SD)	8.5 $\pm$ 1.8	5.7 $\pm$ 1.0	<0.0001
Mean 06:00h blood glucose level when on insulin (mmol/L) (mean $\pm$ SD)	9.8 $\pm$ 1.8	5.7 $\pm$ 1.0	<0.0001

P-values were obtained using Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney-U test and Chi-square test, when appropriate.

Table 3. MORTALITY ANALYSIS

Outcome measure	RIS (N = 753)	IIIS (N = 705)	RRR (%)	MNT	P - values
ICU deaths - total no. (%)	63 (8.1%)	35 (4.9%)	43	29	0.005
Death Odds Ratio corrected for other baseline risk factors (95% CI)		0.52 (0.33-0.82)			0.004
ICU deaths in acute vs. prolonged critical illness					
ICU deaths among patients staying ≤ 5 days - total no. (%)	34 (2.8%)	13 (2.3%)	#		0.8
ICU deaths among patients staying > 5 days - total no. (%)	49 (20.2%)	22 (10.8%)	47	11	0.005
ICU deaths per on-admission diagnostic group					
Cardiac surgery - total no. (%)	25 (5%)	10 (2%)			
Other types of critical illness - total no. (%)	38 (13.1%)	25 (8.7%)			
Neurological diseases, cerebral trauma or surgery - total no. (%)	7 (23.3%)	6 (18.1%)			
Thoracic surgery and/or respiratory insufficiency - total no. (%)	10 (17.8%)	5 (7.8%)			
Abdominal surgery/peritonitis - total no. (%)	9 (15.5%)	6 (13.3%)			
Vascular surgery - total no. (%)	2 (8.3%)	2 (8.8%)			
Multiple trauma or severe burns - total no. (%)	3 (8.8%)	4 (12.1%)			
Transplantation - total no. (%)	1 (2.3%)	2 (4.3%)			
Others - total no. (%)	6 (17.8%)	6 (8%)			
Causes of death during intensive care - total no.					0.02
Multiple organ failure with proven septic focus	33	8			
Multiple organ failure, no detectable septic focus	18	14			
Severe brain damage	5	3			
Cardiogenic shock	7	10			
In-hospital deaths - total no. (%)	85 (10.9%)	65 (7.2%)	34	27	0.01
In-hospital deaths among patients staying ≤ 5 days in ICU - total no. (%)	21 (3.8%)	20 (2.8%)	#		0.8
In-hospital deaths among patients staying > 5 days in ICU - total no. (%)	64 (28.3%)	35 (16.8%)	38	11	0.01

RRR denotes relative risk reduction. NNT denotes the number needed to treat to save one life. P-values were obtained using Chi-square test.

**Table 4** : Multivariate logistic regression analysis of all baseline univariate predictors of ICU death

Parameter	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P
Age (1 added y)	1.03	1.01 - 1.05	0.002
APACHE II during first 24h $\square$ 9	4.92	2.48 - 9.78	<0.0001
A non-cardiac surgery type of critical illness	2.24	1.27 - 3.97	0.006
Tertiary referral	2.10	1.24 - 3.58	0.006
A history of malignancy	1.47	0.86 - 2.52	0.2
Admission hyperglycemia $\square$ 11 mmol/L	1.66	0.96 - 2.87	0.07
Intensive Insulin Treatment (IIS)	0.52	0.33 - 0.82	0.004

APACHE denotes Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation. Higher APACHE II scores reflect more severe critical illness.

Table 2. MORBIDITY ANALYSIS

Outcome measure	RIS (N = 783)	IIIS (N = 785)	RRR (%)	NNT	P-value
<b>ICU stay</b>					
Days on ICU (median - IQR)	2 (2-3)	2 (2-3)			0.2
ICU stay ≤ 5 days (N=1087)	18 (9-27)	12 (8-20)			0.003
ICU stay > 5 days (N=451)	206 (28.2%)	167 (20.9%)	22	17	0.007
Patients requiring > 7 days intensive care - total no. (%)	123 (15.7%)	97 (11.4%)	27	23	0.01
Patients requiring > 14 days intensive care - total no. (%)	74 (9.5%)	59 (7.5%)	33	33	0.03
<b>Mechanical ventilatory support</b>					
Days on mechanical ventilatory support (median - IQR)	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)			0.2
ICU stay ≤ 5 days (N=1087)	12 (7-23)	10 (8-16)			0.003
ICU stay > 5 days (N=451)	173 (23.2%)	125 (16.0%)	27	18	0.003
Patients requiring > 7 days ventilatory support - total no. (%)	93 (12.2%)	67 (7.7%)	37	22	0.003
Patients requiring > 14 days ventilatory support - total no. (%)	62 (8.2%)	37 (4.6%)	39	31	0.01
<b>Hemodynamics</b>					
Patients on inotropic/vasopressor treatment - total no. (%)	688 (79%)	574 (73%)	*		0.9
<b>Renal function</b>					
Peak plasma creatinine > 3.5 mg/dL - total no. (%)	98 (12.2%)	89 (11.4%)	28	31	0.04
Peak plasma urea concentration > 150 mg/dL - total no. (%)	88 (11.2%)	58 (7.2%)	31	29	0.02
Patients needing dialysis or CVVH - total no. (%)	64 (8.2%)	37 (4.6%)	42	29	0.007
<b>Liver function</b>					
Patients with hyperbilirubinemia (peak bilirubin > 2 mg/dL) - total no. (%)	258 (27%)	171 (22%)	19	20	0.04
<b>Infection / Inflammation</b>					
Patients with blood stream infections during intensive care - total no. (%)	61 (7.8%)	32 (4.2%)	48	28	0.003
Patients treated with antibiotics for > 10 days - total no. (%)	134 (17.1%)	89 (11.2%)	35	17	0.009
> 3 days C-reactive protein level above 100 mg/L - total no. (%)	152 (21%)	119 (15%)	29	17	0.008
> 3 days white blood cell count > 40000/μL or < 12000/μL - total no. (%)	106 (21%)	121 (15%)	24	29	0.008
> 3 days central body temperature > 38°C or < 36°C - total no. (%)	298 (29%)	164 (21%)	19	29	0.02
<b>Critical illness polyneuropathy</b>					
Patients with critical illness polyneuropathy - total no. (% of EMG-screened)	110 (52%)	47 (26%)	44	4	<0.0001
Repetitive (+2) positive EMGs - total no. (% of EMG-screened)	38 (19%)	11 (7%)	63	8	0.001
<b>Red cell transfusion requirement</b>					
Number of patients requiring transfusion - total no. (%)	243 (31%)	219 (27%)			0.3
Number of transfusions per patient (median - IQR)	2 (1 - 3)	1 (1 - 2)			0.0002
Lowest level of hemoglobin (g/dL) when transfused (median - IQR)	8.1 (7.6 - 8.6)	8.3 (7.7 - 8.8)			0.02
Lowest level of hematocrit (%) when transfused (median - IQR)	23.5 (23.4 - 23.7)	23.8 (23.4 - 23.7)			0.03
<b>Cumulative TISS (median - IQR)</b>					
ICU stay ≤ 5 days (N=1087)	84 (87-111)	85 (88-115)			0.3
ICU stay > 5 days (N=451)	338 (328-358)	431 (271-679)			0.0008


RRR denotes relative risk reduction. NNT denotes the number needed to treat to prevent one patient from acquiring the studied complication. EMG denotes electromyography and CVVH continuous veno-venous hemofiltration. P-values were obtained using Mann-

Whitney-U test and Chi-square test, when appropriate. The analysis of number of transfusions did not take the admission day into account.

16 APR. 2001

Modtaget

Figure 1




ICU deaths in different strata of first 24h-APACHE II and TISS scores. APACHE denotes Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation. TISS denotes Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System. Filled bars represent deaths in the RIS group and hatched bars the deaths in the IIS group.

16 APR. 2001

Modtaget

Figure 2



Kaplan-Meier cumulative survival plot for in-hospital survival. The large figure displays results from all patients ( $P = 0.01$ ); the small figure displays long-stay ( $\geq 5$  days) ICU patients only ( $P = 0.018$ ). Bold lines represent the IIS group and thin lines the RIS group. Patients discharged from the hospital were considered survivors. P-values were obtained by logrank (Mantel-Cox) significance testing.

**CLAIMS**

1. *Use of a blood glucose regulator for the manufacture of a medicament to treat or cure a critical ill patient, for example a patient suffering from CIPNP.*
2. *Use of a blood glucose regulator for the manufacture of a medicament to prevent than a patient becomes critical ill or develops CIPNP.*
3. *Use of a blood glucose regulator for the manufacture of a medicament to increasing the survival rate of a critical ill patient, for example of a patient suffering from CIPNP.*
4. *Use of a blood glucose regulator for the manufacture of a medicament to reducing the time a critical ill patient, for example a patient suffering from CIPNP, stays within a hospital, for example stays within an ICU.*
5. *Use of a blood glucose regulator for the manufacture of a medicament to prevent, treat or cure SIRS, especially in a critical ill patient, for example one suffering from CIPNP.*
6. *Use of a blood glucose regulator for the manufacture of a medicament to prevent, treat or cure sepsis, especially in a critical ill patient, for example one suffering from CIPNP.*
7. *The use, according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the blood glucose regulator is insulin, active insulin derivatives, insulin analogues, compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell, certain protein-tyrosine phosphatases (PTP's), other type II antidiabetica, and other biologically active substances having insulin releasing action, preferably insulin.*

8. *The use, according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the blood glucose regulator is used in such a way that the blood glucose level is kept within a range where the lower limit is about 60, about 70 or about 80 mg/dL and the upper limit is about 110, about 120 or about 130 mg/dL, preferably kept in a range from about 80 to about 110 mg/dL).*
9. *The use, according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the blood glucose regulator is used in such a way that the blood glucose level is kept within the range from about 60 to about 130, preferably, from about 70 to about 120, more preferred, from about 80 to about 110 mg/dL.*
10. *The use, according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the blood glucose level is kept within the ranges mentioned in the above claims for a period of time of more than about 8 hours, preferably more than about 24 hours, even more preferred more than about 2 days, especially more than about 4 days, and even more than about 7 days.*
11. *The use, according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the patient to be treated is a mammal, preferably a human (being).*
12. *The use, according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the patient is a non-diabetic patient.*
13. *A method for the treatment, curing or prevention according to each of the previous use claims, wherein the patient to be treated receives an effective amount of the compound mentioned in each of the preceding claims and as stated in each of the preceding claims*
14. *Use of a pharmaceutically effective composition for use in the therapeutic treatment of a mammal having Critical Illness Polyneuropathy, comprising a pharmaceutically effective amount of a compound which is selected from a group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated*

salts of these insulin derivatives or of a group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of a group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell.

15. Use of a pharmaceutically effective composition for use in the prophylactic treatment of a mammal having Critical Illness Polyneuropathy, comprising a pharmaceutically effective amount of a compound which is selected from a group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated salts of these insulin derivatives or of the group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of the group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell.
16. Use of compounds of the group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated salts of these insulin derivatives or of a group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of a group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell for the manufacturing of a medicament for the treatment or prevention of Critical Illness Polyneuropathy.
17. A method for the treatment of Critical Illness Polyneuropathy in mammals, wherein said critical ill individual receives an effective amount of a compound to keep the blood glucose levels between *about 80 and about 110 mg/dL (i.e., between about 4.6 and about 6.1 mmol/L)*.
18. The method of claim 4 whereby said compound is selected from a group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated salts of these insulin derivatives or of a group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of a group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell.

Novo Nordisk A/S

### **Abstract**

This invention relates to a pharmaceutically effective composition to treat critical ill patients. The composition comprises a pharmaceutically effective amount of a compound which is selected from a group of compounds comprising insulin, its active derivatives and the physiologically tolerated salts of these insulin derivatives or of a group of biologically active substances having insulin releasing action or of a group of compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase in a cell.